

THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

POLSKIE LINIE LOTNICZE LOT S.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BOEING COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND ORDER

NOTED FOR CONSIDERATION:  
MARCH 29, 2022

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Discovery in this action is likely to involve production of confidential, export controlled, proprietary, or private information for which special protection may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Protective Order is consistent with Local Civil Rule 26(c). It does not confer blanket protection on all disclosures or responses to discovery, the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles, and it does not presumptively entitle parties to file confidential information under seal.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 “Confidential Information.” “Confidential Information” shall include the following documents and tangible things produced or otherwise exchanged: (a) information prohibited from

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND ORDER – 1  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 disclosure by statute; (b) non-public information about Boeing airplane sales and servicing  
2 contracts; (c) non-public information about the design, manufacturing, certification, marketing,  
3 sale, delivery, and performance of Boeing airplanes; (d) non-public information about revenue,  
4 pricing, profitability, loss, or competition-sensitive material; and (e) non-public personal  
5 information of individuals, including medical information, tax information, and personnel records.  
6 Information or documents that are available to the public may not be designated as Confidential,  
7 except documents that are Export Controlled Information. In the event either party produces  
8 documents designated as Confidential that the other believes do not fall within one of the above  
9 categories, the parties will meet and confer to confirm the propriety of the designation or the  
10 necessity of proposing an amendment to this Protective Order.

11       2.2     “Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information.” As used in this Order, “Attorneys’ Eyes Only  
12 Information” means those documents containing information that the producing party reasonably  
13 believes to be so highly sensitive that it could cause it significant competitive harm if revealed to  
14 an employee of the receiving party. Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information could include highly  
15 sensitive strategic corporate information related to financial or pricing information, information  
16 about confidential third-party agreements, revenue, profit, or loss information, or highly sensitive  
17 competition-related information. Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information shall not include any  
18 information that has been made public or that is legitimately subject to public disclosure. The  
19 parties agree to take care, when reviewing families of documents, to designate as Attorneys’ Eyes  
20 Only, solely the individual documents or information that are entitled to that designation and to  
21 cooperate to promptly correct any over-designation. All Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information is also  
22 Confidential Information.

23       2.3     “Export Controlled Information.” As used in this Order, “Export Controlled  
24 Information” means information, technical data, and/or technology that is subject to the  
25 requirements of the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR”), 15 C.F.R. §§ 730.1, *et seq.*,  
26 and/or the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, which implement the Arms Export Control  
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 2  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 Act (“ITAR”), 22 C.F.R. §§ 120.1, *et seq.* Export Controlled Information may or may not also be  
2 Confidential Information.

3 3. SCOPE

4 The protections conferred by this Protective Order cover not only Confidential  
5 Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information, and Export Controlled Information (as defined  
6 above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Confidential Information, Attorneys’  
7 Eyes Only Information, or Export Controlled Information; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or  
8 compilations of Confidential Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information, or Export Controlled  
9 Information; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or their counsel that  
10 might reveal Confidential Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information, or Export Controlled  
11 Information. However, the protections conferred by this Protective Order do not cover information  
12 that is in the public domain or becomes part of the public domain through trial or otherwise, with  
13 the exception of Export Controlled Information.

14 4. ACCESS TO AND USE OF DESIGNATED INFORMATION

15 4.1 Basic Principles. A receiving party may use Confidential Information, Attorneys’  
16 Eyes Only Information, or Export Controlled Information that is disclosed or produced by another  
17 party or by a non-party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting  
18 to settle this litigation. Confidential Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information, and Export  
19 Controlled Information may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions  
20 described in this Protective Order. Confidential Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information,  
21 and Export Controlled Information must be stored and maintained by a receiving party at a location  
22 and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this  
23 Protective Order.

24 4.2 Disclosure of Confidential Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the  
25 Court or permitted in writing by the designating party, a receiving party may disclose any  
26 Confidential Information only to:

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 3  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 (a) the receiving party's counsel of record in this action, as well as employees  
2 of counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;

3 (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including in-house counsel) of the  
4 receiving party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, unless the document  
5 or material is designated Attorneys' Eyes Only, in which event such document or material may be  
6 disclosed only to in-house litigation counsel employed by the receiving party and who have been  
7 previously disclosed to the disclosing party, provided they have signed the "Acknowledgment and  
8 Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), but not to other officers, directors, or employees of the  
9 receiving party, *see infra* ¶ 4.3;

10 (c) experts and consultants to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this  
11 litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A),  
12 provided, however, that Confidential Information may be disclosed only to an expert or consultant  
13 who is not an aircraft or aircraft part customer, supplier, or competitor of the designating party,  
14 unless a court order is obtained permitting such disclosure;

15 (d) the Court, court personnel, and court reporters and their staff;

16 (e) copy, imaging, or e-discovery services retained by counsel to assist in the  
17 processing of Confidential Information, provided that counsel for the party retaining those vendors  
18 instructs the service not to disclose any Confidential Information to non-parties and to immediately  
19 destroy or return all originals and copies of any Confidential Information upon the conclusion of  
20 the above-captioned action, pursuant to the destruction protocol addressed in Paragraph 10 below;

21 (f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is  
22 reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound"  
23 (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the designating party or ordered by the Court. Pages of  
24 transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Confidential Information  
25 must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as  
26 permitted under this Protective Order; and

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 4  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 (g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a  
2 custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

3 4.3 Disclosure of Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. Unless otherwise ordered by the  
4 Court or permitted in writing by the designating party, a receiving party may disclose any  
5 Attorneys' Eyes Only Information only to those non-parties or entities identified in Paragraph  
6 4.2(a) and (c)-(g), as well as in-house counsel subject to the limitations and requirements set forth  
7 in Paragraph 4.2(b).

8 4.4 Disclosure of Export Controlled Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by  
9 the Court or permitted in writing by the designating party, a receiving party may disclose any  
10 Export Controlled Information only to the non-parties or entities identified in Paragraph 4.2(a)-  
11 (g), provided that no person who is not lawfully able to review Export Controlled Information shall  
12 be allowed to review Export Controlled Information.

13 4.5 Filing Confidential Information. Before filing Confidential Information, Attorneys'  
14 Eyes Only Information, or Export Controlled Information or discussing or referencing such  
15 material in court filings, the filing party shall confer with the designating party, in accordance with  
16 Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(A), to determine whether the designating party will remove the  
17 Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Export Controlled Information designation, whether the  
18 document can be redacted, or whether a motion to seal or stipulation and proposed order is  
19 warranted. During the meet and confer process, the designating party must specifically identify the  
20 basis for sealing the specific Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Export Controlled Information  
21 at issue, and the filing party shall include this basis in its motion to seal, along with any objection  
22 to sealing the information at issue. Local Civil Rule 5(g) sets forth the procedures that must be  
23 followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the Court to  
24 file material under seal. A party who seeks to maintain the confidentiality of its information must  
25 satisfy the requirements of Local Civil Rule 5(g)(3)(B), even if it is not the party filing the motion  
26

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 5  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 to seal. Failure to satisfy this requirement will result in the motion to seal being denied, in  
2 accordance with the strong presumption of public access to the Court's files.

3 5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

4 5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each party  
5 or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Protective Order must  
6 take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate  
7 standards. The designating party must designate for protection only those parts of material,  
8 documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the  
9 material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept  
10 unjustifiably within the ambit of this Protective Order.

11 Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are  
12 shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (*e.g.*, to  
13 unnecessarily encumber or delay the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses  
14 and burdens on other parties) expose the designating party to sanctions.

15 If it comes to a designating party's attention that information or items that it designated for  
16 protection do not qualify for protection, the designating party must promptly notify all other parties  
17 that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

18 5.2 Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this  
19 Protective Order, or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, disclosure or discovery of material that  
20 qualifies for protection under this Protective Order must be clearly designated as such before or  
21 when the material is disclosed or produced.

22 (a) Information in documentary form: (*e.g.*, paper or electronic documents and  
23 deposition exhibits, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings)  
24 The designating party must affix the words "CONFIDENTIAL, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-  
25 01449-RSM" to each page that contains Confidential Information; "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY,  
26 W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM" to each page that contains Attorneys' Eyes Only  
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 6  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

Information; “EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM” to each page that contains Export Controlled Information; “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM” to each page that contains both Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information and Export Controlled Information; and “CONFIDENTIAL AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM” to each page that contains both Confidential Information, Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information, and Export Controlled Information. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the producing party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

(b) Testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial proceedings: The parties and any participating non-parties must identify on the record, during the deposition or other pretrial proceeding, all protected testimony, without prejudice to their right to so designate other testimony after reviewing the transcript. Any party or non-party may, within 45 days after receiving the transcript of the deposition or other pretrial proceeding, designate portions of the transcript, or exhibits thereto, as Confidential, Attorneys’ Eyes Only, and/or Export Controlled Information. If a party or non-party desires to protect Confidential, Attorneys’ Eyes Only, and/or Export Controlled Information at trial, the issue should be addressed during the pre-trial conference.

(c) Other tangible items: The producing party must affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the words “CONFIDENTIAL, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; or “CONFIDENTIAL AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM.” If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the producing party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 7  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

5.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items (*e.g.*, corrected shortly after the inadvertent failure was brought to the designating party's attention) does not, standing alone, waive the designating party's right to secure protection under this Protective Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the receiving party must make reasonable efforts to ensure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Protective Order.

## 6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, OR EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION DESIGNATIONS

6.1 Timing of Challenges. Any party or non-party may challenge a designation of Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Export Controlled Information at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a party's designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a party does not waive its right to challenge a designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.

6.2 Meet and Confer. The parties must make every attempt to resolve any dispute regarding designations without court involvement. Any motion regarding designations or for a protective order must include a certification, in the motion or in a declaration or affidavit, that the movant has engaged in a good faith meet and confer conference with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. The certification must list the date, manner, and participants of the conference. A good faith effort to confer requires a face-to-face meeting or a video or telephone conference.

6.3 Judicial Intervention. If the parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the designating party may file and serve a motion to retain the designation under Local Civil Rule 7 (and in compliance with Local Civil Rule 5(g), if applicable). The burden of persuasion in any such motion shall be on the designating party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (*e.g.*, to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on



other parties) may expose the challenging party to sanctions. All parties shall continue to maintain the material in question as designated until the Court rules on the challenge.

7. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN  
OTHER LITIGATION

If a party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as “CONFIDENTIAL, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 22:21-cv-01449-RSM”; “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM”; or “CONFIDENTIAL AND EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION, W.D. Wash. Case No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM,” that party must:

(a) promptly notify the designating party in writing and include a copy of the subpoena or court order;

(b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Protective Order; and

(c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the designating party whose designated material may be affected.

8. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a receiving party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Confidential, Attorneys’ Eyes Only, or Export Controlled Information to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Protective Order, the receiving party must immediately (a) notify in writing the designating party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the protected material or ensure their destruction, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Protective Order, and

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 9  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

(d) request that such person or persons execute the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE  
PROTECTED MATERIAL

9.1 Inadvertent Production of Privileged or Protected Material. The parties recognize that certain Confidential or other material relevant to the claims and defenses in this action may be protected by, and subject to, a claim of privilege or similar protection. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), if, in connection with this action, a producing party inadvertently produces or discloses information subject to any privilege or similar protection (“Inadvertently Produced Information”), such disclosure or production shall be without prejudice to the producing party’s claim that such information is privileged or protected, and no producing party shall be held to have waived any rights by such inadvertent production or disclosure, so long as the producing party promptly provides notice to all parties of the production of Inadvertently Produced Information after learning of that production. Within five business days of providing notice, the producing party shall provide privilege log entry/entries covering such Inadvertently Produced Information. Specifically, the provisions of Federal Rule of Evidence 502(b)(2) and (b)(3) are inapplicable to the production of Inadvertently Produced Information in this action. Once a producing party provides the notice to the other parties regarding the production of Inadvertently Produced Information, the other parties shall: (i) promptly return the Inadvertently Produced Information along with all duplicates in paper form, (ii) remove any electronic version of the Inadvertently Produced Information from their electronic databases, (iii) provide a certification of counsel that all such Inadvertently Produced Information has been returned or destroyed, and (iv) not duplicate the Inadvertently Produced Information or distribute it by any means other than returning it to the producing party (if needed). Nothing in this paragraph or order curtails a party’s right to challenge the propriety of a designation or privilege assertion with the Court, or to assert that the holder of

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 10  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 the privilege failed to take reasonable steps to prevent disclosure or failed to promptly take  
2 reasonable steps to rectify the error.

3 9.2 Receipt of Inadvertently Produced Information. If a party receives Confidential or  
4 other material that reasonably appears to be subject to a privilege or protection and to have been  
5 provided through inadvertence, the receiving party must refrain from examining the Confidential  
6 or other material any further and shall promptly notify the producing party in writing that the party  
7 possesses it.

8 9.3 Description of Inadvertently Produced Information. In connection with any motion  
9 or dispute before the Court regarding the designation of Confidential or other material as subject  
10 to a privilege or protection, nothing in this Order shall prohibit any party from describing such  
11 Confidential or other material in such a manner as does not violate the privilege or protection.

12 10. NON-TERMINATION AND RETURN OF DOCUMENTS

13 Within 60 days after the termination of this action, including all appeals, each receiving  
14 party must certify the secure destruction of all Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Export  
15 Controlled Information to the producing party, including all copies, extracts, and summaries  
16 thereof.

17 Notwithstanding this provision, counsel are entitled to retain one archival copy of all  
18 documents filed with the Court, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, correspondence,  
19 deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work  
20 product, even if such materials contain Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only, or Export Controlled  
21 Information.

22 The confidentiality obligations imposed by this Protective Order shall remain in effect until  
23 a designating party agrees otherwise in writing or a court orders otherwise.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED by and between the parties.

2 DATED: March 29, 2022

3 By: s/ Mirin Park

By: s/ Ulrike B. Connelly

4 Mirin Park, WSBA No. 57983

Steve Y. Koh, WSBA No. 23284

Eric B. Wolff, WSBA No. 43047

5 **Condon & Forsyth LLP**

Ulrike B. Connelly, WSBA No. 42478

6 600 Stewart Street

Gregory F. Miller, WSBA No. 56466

7 Suites 300 & 400

Michelle L. Maley, WSBA No. 51318

8 Seattle, Washington 98101

Email: [mpark@condonlaw.com](mailto:mpark@condonlaw.com)

**Perkins Coie LLP**

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900

Seattle, WA 98101-3099

Telephone: 206.359.8000

Facsimile: 206.359.9000

Email: [SKoh@perkinscoie.com](mailto:SKoh@perkinscoie.com)

[EWolff@perkinscoie.com](mailto:EWolff@perkinscoie.com)

[UConnelly@perkinscoie.com](mailto:UConnelly@perkinscoie.com)

[GMiller@perkinscoie.com](mailto:GMiller@perkinscoie.com)

[MMaley@perkinscoie.com](mailto:MMaley@perkinscoie.com)

9 Anthony U. Battista (*pro hac vice*)

10 Diana Gurfel Shapiro (*pro hac vice*)

Evan Kwarto (*pro hac vice*)

11 Mary Dow (*pro hac vice*)

12 **Condon & Forsyth LLP**

7 Times Square, 18th Floor

13 New York, New York 10036

Email: [abattista@condonlaw.com](mailto:abattista@condonlaw.com)

[dgurfel@condonlaw.com](mailto:dgurfel@condonlaw.com)

[ekwarto@condonlaw.com](mailto:ekwarto@condonlaw.com)

[mdow@condonlaw.com](mailto:mdow@condonlaw.com)

14 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
15 *The Boeing Company*

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

17 *Polskie Linie Lotnicze Lot, S.A.*

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 12  
(No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and the  
3 provisions of this Order, the production of any documents in this proceeding shall not, for the  
4 purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the  
5 producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client  
6 privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law.  
7 The parties therefore need not comply with any other requirements, including those set forth by  
8 Federal Rule of Evidence 502(b), in order to preserve the privilege.

9 DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022.

10  
11 

12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

EXHIBIT AACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

I, \_\_\_\_\_ [print or type full name], of  
 \_\_\_\_\_ [print or type full address], declare under penalty of  
 perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was  
 issued by the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington on  
 \_\_\_\_\_ [date] in the case of *Polskie Linie Lotnicze Lot S.A. v. The Boeing Company*, Case  
 No. 2:21-CV-01449-RSM. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this  
 Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could  
 expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will  
 not disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective  
 Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.

I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the  
 Western District of Washington for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective  
 Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

City and State where sworn and signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER  
 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – 14  
 (No. 2:21-cv-01449-RSM)